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“And I will give you pastors according to mine heart, which shall feed you with knowledge and understanding.” – Jeremiah 3:15
Chapter 1: In The Beginning

What if everything we were taught about the Bible and history was only a small part of the truth? When it comes to the Garden of Eden, we’re often bombarded with information about the Middle East, but rarely is there a focus on the fact that one of the rivers encompassed a major portion of Africa. Paintings, TV shows, and movies create our perception of Eden for us, but rarely are the words of the Bible taken into consideration when the images we’re shown are created.

The automatic assumption is that Adam and Eve were Caucasian. For the last 700 years Europeans have been in a position of power, which has allowed them to influence the way the world perceives people in the Bible. In order to come out of the deception and break off the chains of deception, we must start at the very beginning so that we can understand not only the truth, but also the lies that have been used to keep that truth hidden from us.

“Call unto me, and I will answer thee, and shew thee great and mighty things, which thou knowest not.” – Jeremiah 33:3

The Location of Eden

The Cradle of Civilization is believed to be where mankind got its start. All of my life, I’ve heard two different arguments for where this actually occurred:

- Africa
- Mesopotamia (Middle East)

There’s no way that both arguments could be right... or could they? In Western society, we tend to think of The Middle East as some separate area not associated with Africa, but that couldn’t be further from the
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truth. The Middle East was physically connected to Africa until the Suez Canal was built in 1869 to permanently separate Africa from Israel. Before European invasion, most of the area was under African control for thousands of years. Let’s look at a map of Africa, Israel, and the Middle East, before we continue. Pay special attention to where each area is located.

If there is still doubt in your mind as to the physical connection of Israel to Africa, here is a Tectonic Plate map. Notice that Israel is part of the African plate.
Now that we have a clear idea of where everything is located on a map, it seems obvious that The Cradle of Civilization can’t be located both in Africa and the Middle East.

- Africa is part of the African Plate.
- Mesopotamia is part of the Arabian Plate.

I struggled with a definitive answer for a long time until I decided to take up a study on The Garden of Eden. The answer to this question may lie in what we know about pre-divide geography.

**Pre-Divide Geography**

Science supports the belief that earth was all one single landmass named Pangaea before it was divided. It was the study of The Garden of Eden that prompted me to look deeper into Pangaea, the name that scientists gave the world before the earth was rearranged by continental drift. Take a look at the following map of what scientists believe the world looked like before it was divided.
If we look at this pre-divide map, based on the Continental Drift Theory, we see that Saudi Arabia and The Cradle of Civilization are connected to Africa, and nothing else (not Europe or Asia). This scientific theory actually supports both sides of the argument, because the current Middle East was once considered to be part of Africa. Some believe that Continental Drift Theory supports the following verse:

“And unto Eber were born two sons: the name of one was Peleg; for in his days was the earth divided; and his brother's name was Joktan.” – Genesis 10:25

We could argue that because the earth was all one giant land mass, that there was no “Africa” at the time and that would be correct. However,
while it wasn’t Africa in name, people with similar skin color still inhabited the area, pre and post divide. In order to understand the origin of these people, we need to start in The Garden of Eden. Those that dismiss Pangaea as valid science, probably do so to hold onto the belief that Israel is part of Asia and not Africa. Let’s look at the difference between Eden and the Garden of Eden.

Eden Was Not The Garden

This is a simple, but often overlooked minor detail. The garden was planted in Eden, but the garden was not Eden. To understand where Eden was, we need to consider where the garden was:

“And the LORD God planted a garden eastward in Eden; and there he put the man whom he had formed.” – Genesis 2:8

We see that the garden was planted eastward in Eden, and if we accept the explanation that the garden was in Mesopotamia, we need to understand where Mesopotamia is:
As the map shows, Mesopotamia takes up the entire area separating the Middle East from Asia. This area is known as The Fertile Crescent. If we refer back to the Pangaea map, we will see that The Fertile Crescent was as far east as anyone could travel before running into the ocean, pre Continental Drift or pre divide. If the garden was planted in the east, it means Eden also encompassed the land to the west of the garden. On the Pangaea map, the land to the west is Arabia, Africa, South America, and a small portion of Florida. One final explanation for Eden is that it could represent the entire land mass that we refer to as Pangaea. However, when we look at the Biblical geography, the only locations mentioned are African and Arabian rivers. The names of those rivers are:

1. Pison (Genesis 2:11) – Encompassed Havilah
2. Gihon (Genesis 2:13) – Encompassed Ethiopia
3. Hiddekel (Genesis 2:14) – Flows east toward Assyria
4. Euphrates (Genesis 2:14) – No description given.

The Euphrates runs right through the Fertile Crescent, but we do not see the other three rivers. In order to get a better idea of the area, let’s look at the locations of Havilah and Ethiopia. It is important to understand that even though Moses is was writing about pre-flood events, he was using post flood, post divide geography to explain where everything was at his present time.
The map shows us that Havilah was most of The Arabian Peninsula, which on the Pangaea map was part of Africa, and only Africa. Now let’s take a look at the location and size of modern day Ethiopia.

The problem with figuring out how large the river was is that the size of Ethiopia as changed throughout history. At one point the entire continent of Africa was called Ethiopia, but what we do know is that the river must have been larger than usual in order for Moses to emphasize that it encompassed Ethiopia. After looking at the modern maps, we see that Ethiopia is right across the Red Sea from Havilah. That means that the
Garden of Eden was relatively close to these areas, which means that Eden (not the garden) likely included these areas.

**Bible Fact:** The Garden of Eden was destroyed in Noah’s flood. Those that go looking for it probably won’t find its exact location ever, but the land referred to as Eden still exists.

An honest discussion about the location of Eden must include Africa, but it’s rare that it gets mentioned. The reason for that may be that once we start discussing Africa, it makes us call into question the Caucasian paintings of Adam and Eve we’re use to seeing. Caucasian skin was not designed for daily life in Africa without modern amenities such as sunscreen and air conditioning. This brings us to the image of God.

---

**Let Us Make Man In Our Image**

In the beginning The Most High created man in his image, but that image would need to include the ability to live in the harsh African sun after being booted from the garden.

- Why does it matter what Adam and the Creator look like?
- It’s not about race.
- God doesn’t care about skin color.

These are the arguments made by people in fear of the truth and in most cases it comes from Europeans, but not always. There are some Hebrew brothers and sisters that just accept what they’ve been told to believe, and don’t want anyone to start asking questions.

- Nobody says it’s not about race when the Irish want to celebrate their history and apply it to Irish people.
- Nobody says it’s not about race when we discuss Native American history and apply it to Native Americans.
• Nobody says it’s not about race when we want to talk about Mexican history and apply it to Mexicans.

The only time people get shifty and want to change the subject about race is when it comes to the Bible being the history of descendants of the Transatlantic Slave Trade. Ask yourself why that double standard exists. It’s because there are things that we aren’t supposed to know about the Bible and how it pertains to the descendants of the Transatlantic Slave Trade.

Control The Church, Control The Community

During slavery black people weren’t allowed to read, but many would sneak and read the Bible under the fear of beatings and sometimes death. Those that understood the words of the Bible also understood that something didn’t quite add up. What was being taught in the book was much different than the religion and actions being practiced by Europeans.

• Europeans were committing rape of men and women… which the Bible forbids (Deuteronomy 22:22-26).
• Europeans were practicing lifetime slavery… which the Bible forbids (Deuteronomy 15:12).
• Europeans were practicing brutality of slaves… which the Bible forbids (Exodus 21:26-27).

In order for Europeans to do what they did, they were forced to create a religion around the Bible, but not based on the Bible. This “shell” assumed the name Christianity to connect it with the Bible, even though it has no connection to scripture at all. It is true that believers in Christ were called Christians in Antioch (Acts 11:26), but the theft of names and reapplication of them to other people and practices is a theme throughout European history. It was this “shell religion” that was preached to the slaves. For the remainder of this book, we’ll refer to
this religion as Eurocentric Christianity in order to differentiate it from Biblical Christianity. It’s close enough to the truth that few people ques-
tion it, but far enough from the truth to make it a separate false reli-
gion. Black slaves weren’t allowed to read openly, they were only al-
lowed to teach Eurocentric Christianity the way the slave masters told them they could teach it. This form of doctrinal control was beneficial to the slave master for several reasons:

- The master told black preachers what to preach.
- Black pastors preached the master’s words as gospel.
- The black congregation followed those words as gospel.

Europeans figured out that if they control the black pastors, they could control the people in the congregation… which at the time were the majority of black people. This same tactic would be used again in 1939 by “The Negro Project” in order to get the black community to accept abortion as a means of birth control. Slave owners weren’t worried about slaves figuring out that they were being taught lies. The same can be said about many black churches today.

- Black pastors usually attend a European seminary where they are taught Eurocentric Christian doctrine in most cases.
- The pastor comes out teaching Eurocentric Christian doctrine to the congregation, which is rarely ever questioned.
- The congregation follows those words as gospel instead of read-
ing scripture to see for themselves (Acts 17:11).

One of the biggest lies in Eurocentric Christian doctrine is that we should never ever talk about race in the Bible. There are several other false teachings that go hand in hand with it.

- The Bible doesn’t mention skin color... *not true*.
- The Bible doesn’t say what Christ looked like... *not true*.
- The Bible isn’t about race... *not true*.
If people that claim to believe the gospel are fighting against asking questions about topics that appear in the Bible, it means we should definitely ask questions. People only try to steer us away from the truth when they’re scared we’ll find something they don’t want us to see. So let’s ask a question about Adam.

**What Color Was Adam?**

When we consider where Adam was placed geographically, it makes sense for Adam to have a dark hue of skin. We can speculate on what that hue was or we can take it to scripture for a solid answer.

“Let us make man in our image, after our likeness: and let them have dominion over the fish of the sea, and over the fowl of the air, and over the cattle, and over all the earth, and over every creeping thing that creepeth upon the earth.” – Genesis 1:26

The name “Adam” means “red / reddish”. Eurocentric Christian doctrine teaches that this meant that Adam had white skin capable of blushing. This is a theme we’re going to see come up several times in order for European Christians to get the interpretation they need in order for the text to work with their “shell” religion. Let’s cast aside Eurocentric doctrine and look at what the Bible says.

“Formed man of the dust of the ground, and breathed into his nostrils the breath of life; and man became a living soul.” – Genesis 2:7

If we do some digging (literally and figuratively) we’ll find that there is a layer of ground referred to as clay. This layer of clay is known specifically as “red clay”. In fact, there is no such thing as ground / dust that looks remotely similar to Caucasian skin in that read of the world. The White Sands National Monument in New Mexico is the exception to the rule, but neither the Bible nor Eurocentric Christian doctrine teach that creation occurred in New Mexico.
Scan the QR Code to the left of the page to see what “raw red clay” looks like. Look at the image and ask yourself if that looks anything like blushing skin. QR Code reading apps are available for free for iPhone and Android devices.

We could stop there if it was the only piece of evidence pertaining to Adam’s color, but there’s more. Let’s look at what scripture says about God’s image.

**What Is The Image of God?**

There are some that claim that if the Bible wanted us to know what God looked like, it would tell us, and that’s exactly what it does. We are given a very clear description of The Most High, which includes his color.

> “And immediately I was in the spirit: and, behold, a throne was set in heaven, and one sat on the throne. And he that sat was to look upon like a jasper and a sardine stone: and there was a rainbow round about the throne, in sight like unto an emerald.” – Revelation 4:2-3

Where Eurocentric Christian doctrine and Biblical Christianity agree is that stones were used as a way to describe various colors. John used stones to describe the color of the Father on the throne. Either he chose the stones with purpose in mind or he chose stones at random, but both possibilities cannot be true. Let’s have a look.
The one thing we notice about these stones is that they’re varying shades of brown... but all still brown stones. If we put the image of a Jasper Stone side by side with images of raw red clay, their color is almost identical. If Adam was made in the image of God, and both are described in scripture as similar in color, then it is consistent to believe that Adam was a reddish-brown clay colored man. He was not white, olive, or Middle Eastern. Now let’s talk about Eve.

Eve Is The Mother of All Living

When it comes to Eve, we need to look at much more than color because there are quite a few false doctrines that make her out to be an adulteress and not the mother to some humans.

“And Adam called his wife's name Eve; because she was the mother of all living.” – Genesis 3:20

If Eve was taken from Adam, then she looked like him in almost every aspect, including skin color. In other words, Eve was the first human clone in history. This is important because of a widespread Eurocentric Christian doctrine that is deeply rooted in racism. That doctrine is known as “8th day creation” and is based on Genesis 2.
• 8th day creation teaches that only Caucasians came from Adam and Eve and were created on the 8th day.
• 8th day creation teaches that all other races created before Adam and Eve on the 6th day.
• 8th day creation teaches that all races except for the white race are “beast races” not descended from Adam and Eve, and are therefore not human.

Understanding these points is important in understanding why those that hold to racist doctrine while claiming to be Christians view black people as “sub human”. It’s embedded in some Eurocentric Christian doctrines starting in Genesis. This belief leads them to interpret Noah’s flood in a much different way than most of us have heard the story. The only intent of this false doctrine is to reinforce the notion of white supremacy, while also using the Bible to back the false belief that all other races are subservient to the European race.

“And God said, Let us make man in our image, after our likeness: and let them have dominion over the fish of the sea, and over the fowl of the air, and over the cattle, and over all the earth, and over every creeping thing that creepeth upon the earth.” – Genesis 1:26

They believe that the above declaration of dominion applies to Caucasians and only Caucasians. In order to adhere to this false doctrine, they must also deny Genesis 3:20 which makes it clear that Eve is the mother of ALL LIVING, regardless of skin color. But the fact remains that if Adam was a reddish-brown skin man, then Eve was a reddish-brown skin woman.

**Cain, The Devil, and Black Skin**

The final deception we’re going to address in this section is the curse on Cain. Christian Identity is a racist Eurocentric Christian doctrine that teaches that Eve had sex with Satan, Cain was the son of Satan, and af-
ter Cain murdered Abel, God cursed him with black skin. This false doctrine is known by several names:

- Seedline Theory
- Serpent Seed Theory
- Two Seed Theory

None of the above beliefs concerning Cain are found in scripture and are in fact contradictory to Genesis 4:1, which states that Adam was the father of Cain. For the sake of the focus of this book, we’re going to concentrate on the lie that Cain was cursed with black skin.

> “And the LORD said unto him, Therefore whosoever slayeth Cain, vengeance shall be taken on him sevenfold. And the LORD set a mark upon Cain, lest any finding him should kill him.” – Genesis 4:15

The verse does not call the mark a curse nor does it mention black skin at all. Even though neither of these beliefs is found in scripture, it doesn’t stop some Eurocentric Christian teachers from spreading the lie. There are two other places in the Bible where we see God’s mark, and both of those are marks of protection, just like the mark was for Cain.

- Ezekiel 9
- Revelation 7

In both of the above chapters, God’s mark is used as a mark of protection and not a curse. By stating that Cain is the son of Satan and he was cursed with black skin, they can justify their racist beliefs toward black people. This same belief gives rise to a false doctrine that Kenites were descendants of Cain that survived the flood and currently commit evil on earth. Shepherd’s Chapel is one of the most well known Christian Identity sects that teach this false doctrine. If you’re learning doctrine from Shepherd’s Chapel, the late Arnold Murray, or his son Dennis Murray, you’re being deceived by racist Christian Identity doctrine. This sub-
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- Additional Content -

Scan the QR Code to the right of the page to check out my book, The Serpent Seed: Debunked. Learn the truth about the false doctrine and why it’s so important to some Eurocentric Christian doctrine. QR Code reading apps are available for free for iPhone and Android devices.

Go Ask A Pastor

1. Why would one of the rivers of Eden encompass the entire land of Ethiopia if the garden didn’t have any connection to Africa?
2. If the Garden is on the east side of Eden, what continent is to the West?
3. Is it possible that the entire continent of Africa is the land referred to as Eden in scripture?
4. If skin color isn’t important in context of the Bible, why are there so many references to it in the Bible?
5. Why does Eurocentric Christian doctrine adopt a racist view toward people with black skin, if skin color doesn’t matter?
6. Why do Europeans attempt to associate black skin with Satan and a curse, if skin color doesn’t matter?
7. Why does Eurocentric Christianity attempt to present the white race as a separate creation from all other races, if skin color doesn’t matter.
8. Why does Eurocentric Christianity exclude Africa as a possible location of Eden, even though Biblical geography supports it as the only location for Eden?
The Breakdown

An ongoing theme we’ll encounter throughout the awakening process is that Eurocentric Christian doctrine is often based on making white people feel superior, while classifying every other race as inferior. In fact, as we proceed through the Bible we’ll see that Europeans are almost always presented in a negative light. In order to combat this, many will claim it’s racist to point out these occurrences.

- They identify who they believe to be Arabs, but they do not call this racist.
- They identify who they believe to be black people, but they do not call this racist.
- They identify who they believe to be Asians, but they do not call this racist.

There is a double standard when others identify the bad guys as Europeans. Their existence is built on white supremacy, and for anyone to use the Bible to point out the opposite is a blow to their ego that they cannot handle. It is because of this many (not all) will reject the truth in favor of a gospel that makes them feel more comfortable, but that isn’t the gospel of the Bible. The deeper we venture into scripture, the harder it is to cling to the shell religion of Eurocentric Christianity.
“And he said unto Abram, Know of a surety that thy seed shall be a stranger in a land that is not theirs, and shall serve them; and they shall afflict them four hundred years; And also that nation, whom they shall serve, will I judge: and afterward shall they come out with great substance.” – Genesis 15:13-14